

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/540,886 | 12/29/2005 | Masakazu Ohara | 033634-003 | 1767 |
| | 7590 06/29/200 INGERSOLL & ROO | EXAMINER | | |
| POST OFFICE | BOX 1404 | LE, HOA T | | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1773 | |
| | • | | | |
| | • | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| · | | | 06/29/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary | | Application N | lo. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|-------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/540,886 | | OHARA ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | H. T. Le | | 1773 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IG DATE OF THIS FR 1.136(a). In no event, hon. period will apply and will exp statute, cause the application. | COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be tinuous noire SIX (6) MONTHS from non to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this commed (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | , | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the applicat | tion. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | · · | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | and/or election requ | irement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | • | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Exa | miner. | | | | | | |
| 10)🖂 | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | ne Examiner. Note t | he attached Office | Action or form PTO | -152. | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | · | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | reign priority under | 35 U.S.C. § 119(a |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | see the attached detailed Office action for t | a list of the certilled | copies not receive | zu. | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) | | | | | | |
| 3) 🔯 Infon | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>June 2005</u> . | .8) 5) 6) | = | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/540,886 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 4, line
 "aforementioed" is a misspelling. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 4.1. The specification describes that the oxygen ratio as one of the conditions that affect the properties of the resulting silica particles. However, the specification fails to define the ratio of the oxygen. It is not defined whether the oxygen ratio as reported in table 1 and table 4 is a ratio of oxygen of what component to what component.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,886 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless

b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Mangold patent (US 6,063,354).

Claim 1: Mangold teaches silica particles of the claimed invention because the silica particles taught by Mangold possess the same BET surface area and made by from the same flame hydrolysis process under the same conditions as disclosed in the instant specification. Although Mangold does not report the α value, the silica particles made by the same flame hydrolysis as described in the instant specification. See Mangold, col. 1, lines 36-40. In addition, the silica particles disclosed in the Mangold patent possess the same BET surface area as the claimed silica (see Mangold, col. 1, lines 60-65 and compare to the BET reported in the instant specification at Table 2). Furthermore, Mangold teaches an oxygen ratio (of feeding oxygen to required oxygen) from 0.7 to 0.9 which is equivalent to a reverse oxygen ratio (from required to feeding) of 1.1 to 1.4, which is the same oxygen ratio reported at Table 1 and Table 4 in the instant specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,886 Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1511.

The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., Mondays to Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

<u>/H. Thi Le/</u> H. (Holly) T. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

June 21, 2007